UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,639	06/10/2005	Gunter Hoelzemann	MERCK-3020	5419	
	23599 7590 06/12/2008 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			YOUNG, SHAWQUIA		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,639	HOELZEMANN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHAWQUIA YOUNG	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/538,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 are currently pending in the instant application. Applicants have cancelled claim 15 and added new claims 11-13 in an amendment filed on July 12, 2007. The Examiner contacted attorney Harry Shubin on June 3, 2008 in an attempt to resolve the remaining issue via telephone so that the instant application would be in condition for allowance. The Examiner did not receive a complete telephonic reply and thus prosecution will be reopened. The finality of the previous Office Action mailed February 8, 2008 has been withdrawn and the instant claims will be rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for scope of enablement. This rejection will be discussed in further detail below.

I. Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment, filed May 8, 2008, has overcome the rejection of claim 15 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The rejection has been withdrawn.

II. Rejection(s)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a compound of formula (I) or a salt, enantiomer, racemate or mixture of enantiomers thereof does not reasonably provide enablement for a **solvate** of a compound of formula (I). The specification does not provide sufficient guidance nor does it enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

As stated in the MPEP 2164.01 (a), "There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue."

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have need described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

In the instant case

The nature of the invention

The nature of the invention is a compound of formula I or a salt, enantiomer, racemate or mixture of enantiomers thereof. There is no teaching of solvates of the

compounds of Formula I in the specification.

The state of the prior art and predictability or lack thereof in the art

It is the state of the prior art that the term "solvate" found in the claims is defined as a compound formed by solvation (the combination of solvent molecules with molecules or ions of the solute. It has been estimated that approximately one-third of the pharmaceutically active substances are capable of forming crystalline hydrates. Predicting the formation of solvates or hydrates of a compound and the number of molecules of water or solvent incorporated into the crystal lattice of a compound is complex and difficult. Each solid compound responds uniquely to the possible formation of solvates or hydrates and hence generalizations cannot be made for a series of related compound (See *Vippagunta*, *et al.*)

The scope of "solvate" is not adequately enabled or defined. Applicants provide no guidance as how the compounds are made more active *in vivo*. Solvates and hydrates cannot always be predicted and therefore are not capable of being claimed if the applicant cannot properly enable a particular hydrate or solvate.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples

There is no direction or guidance present in the specification or working examples present in the specification are that defines or relates to what solvates are being included in the elected invention. The term "solvates" is discussed on page 11 of the specification and reads on the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/538,639 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

"Solvates of the compounds of the general formula I are understood as meaning adducts of chemically "inert" solvent molecules to the compounds of the formula I which are formed on account of their mutual attractive force. Solvates are, for example, mono- and dihydrates or addition compounds with alcohols

such as methanol or ethanol."

The breadth of the claims

The breadth of the claims is a compound of formula I, or a salt, enantiomer,

racemate or mixture or enantiomers thereof.

The quantity of experimentation needed and the level of the skill in the art

While the level of the skill in the pharmaceutical art is high, the quantity of

experimentation needed is undue experimentation. One of skill in the art would need to

prepare compounds with various solvents without any direction as to what compounds

form solvates with which solvents.

The level of skill in the art is high without showing or guidance as to how to make

solvates of a compound of formula (I) it would require undue experimentation to figure

out the solvents, temperatures and reaction times that would provide solvates of the

above compounds.

To overcome this rejection, Applicant should submit an amendment deleting the

term "solvates".

III. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Shawquia Young whose telephone number is 571-

272-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-3:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,639 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shawquia Young/

Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626